

REVIEW ARTICLE
Inderstanding the Mechanism of Iron Metabolism and Bioavailability in Cereals towards
Biofortification
Suman K, Jaldhani V, Sanjeeva Rao D, Aravind Kumar J, Sruthi K, Mangrauthia SK, Kalyani MB, Understanding the Mechanism of Iron Metabolism and Bioavailability in Cereals towards Biofortification

Suman K, Jaldhani V, Sanjeeva Rao D, Aravind Kumar J, Sruthi K, Mangrauthia SK, Kalyani MB, Papa Rao Vaikuntapu, Sai Prasad SV, Sundaram RM and Neeraja CN*

> ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad - 500 030 *Corresponding author Email: cnneeraja@gmail.com

Received: 11th July, 2024; Accepted: 5th October, 2024

Abstract

Iron (Fe) deficiency remains a critical global health issue, particularly affecting vulnerable populations such as children and pregnant women. Biofortification, the process of enhancing the micronutrients content in staple food crops, holds promise in addressing this challenge. This review aims to elucidate the mechanisms underlying Fe metabolism in cereals, thus focusing on strategies for Fe biofortification. Understanding the molecular mechanisms governing Fe uptake and transport in plants is essential for targeted breeding efforts to enhance the Fe content. Plants employ distinct strategies for Fe uptake from the soil, such as reductionbased and chelation-based approaches, influenced by environmental factors like soil pH. Long-distance Fe transport within plants involves intricate pathways mediated by transporter proteins and regulatory genes. Environmental factors, including soil properties and agricultural practices, influence Fe bioavailability in crops apart from Fe accumulation. Thus, strategies to enhance Fe absorption such as reducing phytic acid content are crucial for improving the nutritional quality of biofortified crops. Various in vitro, animal and human studies have assessed the bioavailability of Fe in biofortified crops, highlighting the potential for addressing Fe deficiency through dietary interventions. Combining genetic approaches with an understanding of physiological mechanisms can hasten grain Fe enrichment efforts, resulting in better outcomes through biofortification programmes.

Key words: Cereals, Biofortification, Fe metabolism, Bioavailability.

Introduction

The Green revolution has almost achieved food security across the world addressing the hunger through increasing the production of major staple foods including rice, wheat and other cereals. The prevalent reliance on carbohydrate-rich diets, coupled with restricted dietary diversity due to limited purchasing power in low or middle-income countries, is exacerbating hidden hunger, also known as micronutrient malnutrition (Black et al., 2013). The significance of the nutritional quality of the diets has been underscored by United Nation's (UN) Sustainable Development Goal-2 targeting to eliminate hunger, accomplish food security and enhanced nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture (Lowe, 2021). According to the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, around 40% of children below five years, 37% of pregnant women and 30% women between 15 to 49 years suffer Fe deficiency (https://www.who. int/). The requirements for Fe almost doubles between 1 and 6 years of age and also during adolescence/ puberty, thus children, adolescents, women of gestation reproductive age and pregnant women are the most vulnerable to Fe deficiency (WHO, 2005; Abbaspour et al., 2014). Almost 70% of the Fe in human body is

found in red blood cells (RBC) as haemoglobin and in muscles as in myoglobin facilitating the circulation and metabolism of oxygen among various tissues (McDowell, 1992; Hurrell, 1997). One-fourth of Fe is stored as ferritin to maintain Fe homeostasis and to support important cellular processes (Knovich et al., 2009). For plants also, Fe is a critical essential element and deficiency of Fe is directly related to the reduction in crop productivity and quality (Grotz and Guerinot, 2006).

Agronomic biofortification is the application of external Fe salts to the plant parts to increase Fe content in grains. However, it is simple and effective, additional cost required for the purchase of Fe salts and labour for the application hinders the wide adoption of agronomic biofortification. Genetic biofortification is a proven approach to enhance Fe content in cereals especially in pearl millet and wheat (Neeraja et al., 2022). Biofortification can be achieved through either traditional breeding or genetic engineering. The conventional breeding methodology is based on the existence or availability of genetic variability, crossing to combine the high Fe and yields, selection of desirable recombinants from the segregating material and their stabilization to be released as varieties (Vasconcelos et al., 2017). For the use of marker assisted selection (MAS), several attempts were or being made to identify genomic regions and candidate genes associated with high Fe content in target edible tissues using approaches like QTL mapping, GWAS and genomic selection across crops (Srivastava et al., 2020; Gupta et al., 2021; Swamy et al., 2021). Recent identification of ZmNAC78, a transcription factor associated with high Fe levels in maize appears to be promising for MAS (Yan et al., 2023). Genetic engineering approach has demonstrated its potential for enhancing Fe content in cereals, however its adoption is restrained by constraints of regulatory authorities worldwide (Garg et al., 2018). Using

Increasing Fe content in food grains increased the grain Fe content in rice (Chang et al., gene editing strategy, one or a few nucleotides can be changed, existing alleles can be replaced and new genes can be inserted precisely and can be inherited stably (Huang et al., 2016). Genome editing for targeted gene editing through Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) involves Cas9/13, RNA-guided DNA endonucleases guided by a single guided RNA (sgRNA) resulting in a complex at the target site (Roy and Soni, 2021; Ahmad et al., 2020). Gene editing of OsNRAMP2 2022). Knocking out anti-nutrient genes responsible for accumulation of heavy metals and phytic acid can reduce the accumulation anti-nutrients. Disruption of inositol penta phosphate 2-kinase 1 (IPK1) gene increased grain Fe content in wheat (Aggarwal et al., 2018). Supposed to be transgene free technology, the gene editing appears to be promising with supportive regulatory framework across the world. For targeted breeding efforts to increase Fe content in cereals, deciphering the molecular mechanism of Fe translocation and remobilization into grains is very critical. In the present review, we summarized the uptake and transport mechanisms of Fe and the associated genes in model plants and cereals.

> Translocation of Fe from root to loading in grains Available literature and bioinformatics resources focusing on candidate genes and gene families associated with the translocation of Fe from the roots to the grain-loading process in crops viz., rice, wheat and maize are summarized below.

Fe uptake from soil to roots

Plants employ two distinct strategies for Fe uptake from the soil:

- 1. Strategy I, known as the reduction-based strategy, is activated by non-grass plants when they experience Fe deficiency.
- 2. Strategy II, referred to as the chelation-based strategy, is triggered in grasses (Figure 1).

 $2 \star$ Journal of Rice Research 2024, Vol 17, No. 2

Strategy I: Reduction-based strategy \cdot

The Strategy I (reduction-based strategy), is predominantly employed by non-graminaceous plants. This reduction-based strategy hinges on the activity of the Fe-regulated transporter 1 (IRT1). Here is how it works:

- In Strategy I, the available Fe^{3+} in the rhizosphere process by the plant before it can be taken up.
- When plants are under Fe-deficient conditions, they release protons (H^+) into the rhizosphere, which leads to a decrease in pH in the immediate root vicinity. This acidification process is facilitated by ATPases, which utilize ATP to pump protons into the rhizosphere (Kim and Guerinot, 2007).
- As the pH decreases in the rhizosphere, the solubility of ferric oxides $(Fe³⁺)$ increases.
- Furthermore, an enzyme called ferric reductase oxidase 2 (FRO2) aids in the reduction of ferric oxides (Fe^{3+}) to ferrous oxide (Fe^{2+}) , using NADPH-dependent Fe³⁺ chelate reductase. This conversion makes Fe more soluble.
- Subsequently, the soluble ferrous oxide (Fe^{2+}) is transported from the rhizosphere to the roots, primarily through a transporter controlled by IRT1 (Figure 1) (Ishimaru et al., 2006).

Strategy II: chelation-based strategy

Strategy II (chelation-based strategy) is primarily employed by graminaceous plants viz., maize, wheat and rice from the grass family for Fe uptake. Here is how it works:

- Strategy II transport activities are controlled by transporters known as mugineic acid (TOM1) and yellow stripe 1 (YS1).
- In Strategy II, ferric ions $(Fe³⁺)$ present in the rhizosphere are transported into the root cytosol with the help of soluble phyto-siderophores. These siderophores are natural Fe chelators with a high affinity for $Fe³⁺$ transport (Morrissey and Guerinot, 2009).
- Among these chelators, the mugineic acid (MA) belonging to family of phytosiderophores (PS) is particularly effective in binding to Fe3+. Different plant species secrete various members of the MA family, depending on their specific needs. For instance, rice primarily releases 2' deoxymugineic acid (DMA), while barley releases two different types of MAs, viz., 3' epihydroxymugineic acid is first converted into Fe^{2+} through a reduction
recess by the plant before it can be taken up (epi HMA) and 3' epihydroxy 2' deoxymugineic acid (epi HDMA), near the rhizosphere through the TOM1 transporter (Ishimaru et al., 2006).
	- These MAs efficiently form Fe³⁺-MA complexes.
	- The YS1 transporter then transported the formed complexes into the root (Schaff et al., 2004 and Ishimaru et al., 2006). This chelation-based strategy enhances the uptake of Fe in graminaceous plants.

Certain crops, including rice, are capable of employing a combination of both reduction based (Strategy I) and chelation based (Strategy II) strategies for Fe uptake from the rhizosphere into the roots. Here is how it works

- In this combined strategy, plants directly absorb the soluble ferrous oxide (Fe^{2+}) from the rhizosphere, which can be richer in Fe^{2+} compared to Fe^{3+} . This is facilitated through transporters like IRT1 and/or IRT2 (Kim and Guerinot, 2007; Sperotto et al., 2012).
- Simultaneously, via Strategy II, Fe³⁺-MA complexes are formed in the rhizosphere. These Fe3+-MA complexes are then transported into the root's cytosol using transporters like Yellow stripe -like 15 (*YSL15*) (Ishimaru et al., 2006).
- Instead of relying solely on direct $Fe²⁺$ uptake from the rhizosphere, rice successfully utilizes both reduction and chelation-based strategies, ensuring sufficient Fe is absorbed from the rhizosphere into the root cytosol through the $Fe³⁺-MA$ complexes (see Figure 1) (Ishimaru et al., 2006).

Figure 1: Fe uptake strategy I and II in plants

The expression of different sets of ferric reduction oxidase (FRO) genes in various locations suggests their role in Fe uptake in different plant tissues. FRO2 corresponding gene to the yeast Fe (III) reductase 1 (FRE1) was identified in Arabidopsis based on its sequence similarity (Robinson et al., 1999). FRO2 is a primary FER expressed in the epidermal cells of Fe inadequate roots. In low Fe growth condition, the over expression of FRO2 makes plants more resistant (Connolly et al., 2003). Root specific FRO genes (FRO2, FRO3 and FRO5) are expressed in roots, specifically FRO3 gene expressed in the vascular cylinder of roots. Shoot specific FRO genes (FRO6, FRO7 and FRO8) are expressed in shoot (Feng et al., 2006). The orthologs of IRT1 gene have been identified which combines both Strategy I and II for Fe uptake in rice. Unlike Arabidopsis, the LeIRT1 and LeIRT2 genes are expressed in the roots of tomato in both the Fe deficient and sufficient roots, especially LeIRT1, shown induction under Fe deficiency (Eckhardt et al., 2001). Ethylene is also associated in the stress adaptation like Fe deficiency in rice, although not in barley (Wu et al., 2011). Additionally,

★ Journal of Rice Research 2024, Vol 17, No. 2

Methylthioribose kinase (MTK) and S-adenosyl methionine synthetase (SAM) genes are expressed under Fe deficiency conditions in chelation-based strategy plants *viz.*, rice and maize (Liu *et al.*, 2015).

Several endogenous or housekeeping genes have been associated with grain Fe content in rice. For example, the ubiquitin activating enzyme (UBA), a small globular protein involved in the ubiquitination process, has shown a significant positive correlation with Fe concentration in rice grains. This suggests a potential role for UBA in Fe homeostasis, in addition to the reported ubiquitin-conjugating and ligase genes (Bej et al., 2020). In both rice and Arabidopsis, genes related to acquisition, uptake and transport of Fe through both Strategies I and II have been identified and annotated. In Fe deficiency condition, six candidate genes have been associated with Fe in maize and these genes are associated in various aspects of Fe homeostasis, including Fe(III) phytosiderophore transporter, Fe transport to vacuoles and transcriptional factors that regulate Fe-related gene expression (Curie et al., 2001; Kobayashi et al., 2014).

Long-distance Fe transport

Following the Fe transport from the rhizosphere into the root symplast, Fe is needed for chelating compounds. Then the Fe-chelator complexes are transported into the stele, following a diffusion gradient across intercellular connections. At this stage, Fe efflux is required to release Fe into the xylem vessels within the apoplastic space. However, the exact pathway of Fe efflux is not yet fully understood. In plants, especially Arabidopsis, three transporter proteins, known as Feregulated transporters (IREGs) or ferroportins (FPTs) are localized to the root epidermal cells. It is predicted that these transporters are involved in Fe-dependent nickel detoxification (Schaaf et al., 2006). AtIREG1/ FPT1 is bound to the plasma membrane of stele cells, indicating a potential role in releasing Fe into the xylem tracheas (Kim and Guerinot, 2007). FRD3 is a

citrate efflux long-distance Fe transporter associated to MATE family. MATE gene is expressed in the root pericycle and vascular cylinder, indicating its role in citrate efflux into the root pericycle and xylem vessels. However, in the xylem, the concentration of Fe is reduced and it accumulates in the shoot apoplast due to FRD3's involvement in bypassing longdistance Fe transport. This apoplastic movement of Fe, transfers it from cell to cell through intercellular spaces or walls, allowing Fe to move from the roots to the shoots and from the xylem to the phloem. This may compensate for Fe transport mediated by the xylem (Green and Rogers, 2004). Several genes play a role in the mechanism of Fe uptake from the xylem vessels into the plasma membrane of leaf cells. FRO and ZIP genes are expressed in shoots and the basal part of flowers, signifying their role in Fe uptake in aerial tissues (Vert et al., 2002). The mechanism of Fe transport through the phloem is also noteworthy, as it provides a feasible means of Fe transport, particularly when the Fe levels are insufficient in developing tissues/organs viz., apices, seeds and root tips if relying solely on xylem vessels. In phloem sap, the alkaline pH $($ >7) is favourable for maintaining Fe and Fe chelates in a soluble form. Phloem transport is also involved in the remobilization of Fe from older to younger leaves, where the alkaline pH in the phloem sap facilitates the binding of Fe to chelators to keep it soluble (Kim and Guerinot, 2007).

Chloronera $\left(\frac{chln}{n}\right)$ is a mutant tomato that exhibits the role of nicotianamine in long-distance Fe transport. The *chln* gene encodes NAS in the mutant tomato and illustrates the role of nicotianamine in the transport of Fe over long distances. The chln gene was recognized due to the interveinal leaf chlorosis it caused in young leaves, although it led to increased Fe accumulation in roots. This phenomenon suggests that nicotianamine can act as a shuttle, chelating Fe^{2+} from Fe(III)-DMA during phloem loading and unloading, facilitating Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} transformation and specific Fe(II)-NA

transport within the phloem. OsYSL1 transporters, similar to maize YS1, play a key role in the transport of Fe (III)-PS and Fe (II)-NA complexes. In rice total 18 putative YSL genes are identified in its genome and OsYSL2 is essential gene for transporting Fe(II)-NA and Mn(II)-NA (Koike et al., 2004). The temporal and spatial expression of YSL family genes indicating their role in Fe uptake mechanisms. The expression of AtYSL1 mRNA is increased in the vasculature of roots and shoots, specifically in the xylem tubes and is detected in young siliques and the chalazal zone of the embryo, indicating the role of YSLs in Fe loading of seeds. AtYSL1 and AtYSL3 shows a similar expression pattern in the vasculature of shoots and reproductive organs (Takahashi et al., 2003). Over all in Strategy II plants, the YSL genes are important in the long-distance Fe transport mechanism. In maize, the YS1 gene is expressed in both roots and shoots (Curie et al., 2001). Several OsYSL genes viz., OsYSL2 and OsYSL13 being preferentially expressed in shoots particularly OsYSL6, OsYSL14 and OsYSL16 are over expressed in both roots and shoots (Koike *et al.*, 2004). OsYSL2 is a crucial gene overexpressed in the vascular bundles of the panicle neck and the sieve element cells of the phloem in flowers and developing seeds.

Members of the NRAMP family genes are intermediaries in the uptake of divalent cations (Thomine et al., 2003) and in mutant yeast, AtNRAMP4 can complement the Fe uptake, indicating their role in Fe transport (Thomine et al., 2003). In roots, NRAMP1 is expressed to take up Fe from the soil and is induced by Fe deficiency. Under Fe-deficient conditions, NRAMP1 targets the intracellular membrane and remobilizing the Fe into the cytosol (Thomine et al., 2000). In tomato, NRAMP1 and NRAMP3 genes are localized to vacuolar, intracellular vesicle and plasma membrane (Bereczky et al., 2003). In Arabidopsis, two NRAMP genes viz., AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 are localized to the vacuolar membrane (Lanquar et al., 2005). The NRAMP1, NRAMP3 and NRAMP4

genes are over expressed in response to Fe deficiency. When the NRAMP3 gene is overexpressed in plants, the over-expression of Fe uptake genes, viz., FRO2 and IRT1, is downregulated, indicating that NRAMP3 remobilizes the vacuolar Fe into the cytosol (Thomine et al., 2003). Fe is stored as ferritin in the plastid stroma of plant cells. Ferritin is a Fe storage protein capable of storing up to 4,500 Fe atoms. Arabidopsis has four genes (AtFer1-4) encoding ferritin. The transcript of AtFer1, 3 and 4 is expressed upon excess Fe treatment in both roots and leaves (Petit et al., 2001). However, despite the abundance of Fe, the mechanism of Fe uptake into chloroplasts is not well understood. Studies of Fe uptake with isolated chloroplasts have suggested that the mechanism is light dependent and requires Fe (III) chelate reductase activity in barley (Bughio et al., 1997).

Contribution of environmental factors

When the soils are aerobic or of higher pH, Fe is oxidized and fixed as insoluble ferric oxides and at the same time, as Fe is highly reactive, when it is present in excess it becomes toxic. Therefore, plants have developed a control system for Fe (Morrissey and Guerinot, 2009; Grillet et al., 2014). Application of animal manure and plant residues modifies properties of the soils and reported to increase Fe and Zn availability, however foliar applications found to be more than soil nutrient application in increasing grain nutrient contents (Wei et al., 2012; Prasad et al., 2014; Velu et al., 2015).

Bioavailability

Research on bioavailability of nutrients has received greater attention in the past decades. For improvement of Fe absorption, a ratio of phytic acid (PA): iron (Fe), <1:1, is requisite without any enhancers (Hurrell and Egli, 2010). Developing the biofortified crop with high nutritive content is not the only concern but also the bioavailability of the nutrients in human gut (Neeraja et al., 2017). Antinutrient viz., phytic acid inhibit absorption of minerals and hinder the bioavailability of Fe from the ingested food (Kumar et al., 2017). Phytic acid represents 80% of the phosphorous in plants (Bohn et al., 2008). However, variation in the phytic acid content is not attributed to the Fe bioavailability. In maize, by expressing a fungal phytase, 3-fold increase in bioavailable Fe and decrease in phytic acid is reported (Drakakaki et al., 2005). Fe bioavailability is measured by in vitro methods viz., Caco-2 cell model. The caco-2 cells are the colonic carcinoma cells that are morphologically and functionally similar to the epithelial cells lining the small intestine. Animal studies using rodent models have been used in bioavailability studies on Zn and carotenoids, but this model seems to be a poor choice to assess Fe bioavailability. High Fe bioavailability in biofortified food crops was observed using isotopic human studies, however they are time consuming and very expensive, which has limited their use (La Frano et al., 2014). Around 23 articles evaluated the bioavailability in biofortified crops, of which eight were animal studies, seven were in vitro studies and eight were human studies. A combination of these in vitro, animal and human studies will be an effective approach for investigating the efficacy of biofortification programmes (Dias et al., 2018).

Caco-2 cell bioassay was reported as the best approach to evaluate the nutritive quality of Fe biofortified beans (Phaseolus vulgaris) and these varieties have high absorption than normal bean variety. Caco-2 cell model can also disclose the effects of antinutrients like phytic acid. Fe absorption studies in 61 Rwandese women with low Fe status revealed no significant difference in the Fe absorption from Fe rich beans than normal beans, which might be due to high phytic acid and polyphenols in beans (Petry et al., 2012). In Pea, phytic acid decrease by 60% has increased bioavailability of Fe in Caco-2 cell studies and improvement of Fe bioavailability by 50-100% was identified in lpa lines than in controls (Warkentin et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015). Cognitive performance, especially the efficiency of search and the speed of retrieval on memory tasks, was improved in 18-25 women tested by consuming the Fe biofortified beans (86.1 ppm) compared with the normal beans (Murray-Kolb et al., 2017). High ferritin formation in the Caco-2 cells with digests having $FeSO₄$ and \overline{MS} ascorbic acid than the digests with FeSO_4 and citric with more than n acid was reported by (Glahn et al., 1998). The effects of Fe status by consuming the Fe-biofortified rice was tested in 191 women in Philippines which resulted in increase of Fe stores in the women (Haas *et al.*, 2005). Meta-analysis on Fe bioavailability in different types of millets, (in vitro and in vivo) showed variation in the Fe levels ranging from 2 to 8 mg/100 g and 13.2% significant increase in haemoglobin levels. Enhancement of Fe bioavailability by 3.4 to 2.2 times is noted in women by following traditional methods like fermentation and germination (Anitha et al., 2021). Randomised efficacy trials in the Fe profiles like serum ferritin, soluble transferrin receptor, total body Fe etc. were conducted in the Philippines, India and Rwanda in different crops like rice, pearl millet and beans. Cognitive performance in attention and memory domains were significantly improved by Fe biofortified crops compared with conventional crops (Finkelstein et al., 2019). When the Indian school children, between 12-16 years of age, fed with Fe biofortified pearl millet continuously for six months, showed increased light physical activity and decreased sedentary time in children (Pompano et al., 2022). Decrease in pathogenic bacteria and increase in beneficial bacteria in the gut is reported by dietary intake of Fe biofortified foods (Gomes et al., 2021). The bioavailability of Fe can be enhanced from 12.1 to 16.4 ppm by following different processing techniques like popping, malting etc. (Neeraja et al., 2017). The Fe bioavailability can also be altered by different methods of cooking and digestion in the intestine. During heating, Fe^{2+} or Fe^{3+} are released from Fe (III) hydroxides in ferritin (Hoppler et al., 2008) and in the food matrix they are chelated by phytic acid (Moore *et al.*, 2018; Perfecto *et al.*, 2018). Since, $\frac{19(1): 26-39}{19(1): 26-39}$. the primary inhibitors of Fe bioavailability in food

crops are phytic acid and polyphenolic compounds, breeding low phytate genotypes is now being targeted in different crops.

Conclusion

With more than half of the world's women and children suffering from Fe deficiency, all the available strategies should be adopted for improving Fe status in food grains. Biofortification is one of the proven approaches for enhancing Fe content in cereals such as pearl millet and in other crops such as beans. Limited genetic variability for grain Fe content is a major constraint in cereals, thus extensive germplasm screening should be done. High throughput sequencing of germplasm accessions, genome wide association mapping and RNA seq analyses could lead to the candidate genes associated with high grain Fe content. Genome editing offers favorable opportunities to increase the grain Fe content by modifying known candidate genes for Fe metabolism. Converging the physiological and molecular mechanisms of Fe transport and translocation along with methods to improve bioavailability could pave way to the success Fe Biofortification targeting nutritional security.

References

- Abbaspour N, Hurrell R and Kelishadi R. 2014. Review on iron and its importance for human health. Journal of Research Medical Sciences, 19(2): 164-174.
- Aggarwal S, Kumar A, Bhati KK, Kaur G, Shukla V, Tiwari S and Pandey AK. 2018. RNAi-mediated downregulation of inositol pentakisphosphate kinase (IPK1) in wheat grains decreases phytic acid levels and increases Fe and Zn accumulation. Frontiers in Plant Sciences, 9(256): 1-12.
- Ahmad S, Wei X, Sheng Z, Hu P and Tang S. 2020. CRISPR/Cas9 for development of disease resistance in plants: recent progress, limitations and future prospects. Briefing in Functional Genomics,

- Anitha S, Kane-Potaka J, Botha R, Givens DI, Sulaiman NLB, Upadhyay S, Vetriventhan M, Tsusaka TW, Parasannanavar DJ and Longvah T, Rajendran A, Subramaniam K and Bhandari RK. 2021. Millets Can Have a Major Impact on Improving Iron Status, Hemoglobin Level and in Reducing Iron Deficiency Anemia-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontier in Nutrition, 8(725529): 1-14.
- Bej S, Neeraja CN, Kanth KT, Suman K, Barbadikar KM and Voleti SR. 2020. Correlation of expressional pattern of Ubiquitin activating gene with grain Fe content in rice. ORYZA-An International Journal on Rice, 57(3): 251-259.
- Bereczky Z, Wang HY, Schubert V, Ganal M and Bauer P. 2003. Differential regulation of nramp and irt metal transporter genes in wild type and iron uptake mutants of tomato. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 278(27): 24697-24704.
- Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, De Onis M, Ezzati M, Grantham-Mcgregor S, Katz J, Martorell R and Uauy R. 2013. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. The Lancet, 382(9890): 427-451.
- Bohn L, Meyer AS and Rasmussen SK. 2008. Phytate: impact on environment and human nutrition. A challenge for molecular breeding. Journal of Zhejiang University -Sciences B, 9(3): 165-191.
- Bughio N, Takahashi M, Yoshimura E, Nishizawa NK and Mori S. 1997. Light-dependent iron transport into isolated barley chloroplasts. Plant and Cell Physiology, 38(1): 101-105.
- Chang JD, Xie Y, Zhang H, Zhang S and Zhao FJ. 2022. The vacuolar transporter OsNRAMP2 mediates Fe remobilization during germination and affects Cd distribution to rice grain. *Plant Soil*, 476(1-2): 79-95. $5(12): 1-33$.
- Connolly EL, Campbell NH, Grotz N, Prichard CL and Guerinot ML. 2003. Overexpression of the FRO2 ferric chelate reductase confers tolerance to

 $8 \star$ Journal of Rice Research 2024, Vol 17, No. 2

growth on low iron and uncovers posttranscriptional control. Plant Physiology, 133(3): 1102-1110.

- Curie C, Panaviene Z, Loulergue C, Dellaporta SL, Briat JF and Walker EL. 2001. Maize yellow stripe1 encodes a membrane protein directly involved in Fe (III) uptake. Nature, 409(6818): 346-349.
- Dias DM, Costa NMB, Nutti MR, Tako E and Martino HSD. 2018. Advantages and limitations of in vitro and in vivo methods of iron and zinc bioavailability evaluation in the assessment of biofortification program effectiveness. Critical Review in Food Science Nutrition, 58(13): 2136-2146.
- Drakakaki G, Marcel S, Glahn RP, Lund EK, Pariagh S, Fischer R, Christou P and Stoger E. 2005. Endosperm-specific co-expression of recombinant soybean ferritin and Aspergillus phytase in maize results in significant increases in the levels of bioavailable iron. Plant Molecular Biology,
59(6): 869-880.
- Eckhardt U, Mas Marques A and Buckhout TJ. 2001. Two iron-regulated cation transporters from tomato complement metal uptake-deficient yeast mutants. Plant Molecular Biology, 45: 437-448.
- Feng YB, Qiu T, Shen CY and Li XY. 2006. Electromagnetic and absorption properties of carbonyl iron/rubber radar absorbing materials. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, 42(3): 363-368.
- Finkelstein JL, Fothergill A, Hackl LS, Haas JD and Mehta S. 2019. Iron biofortification interventions to improve iron status and functional outcomes. Proceedings of Nutrition Society, 78(2): 197-207.
- Garg M, Sharma N, Sharma S, Kapoor P, Kumar A, Chunduri V and Arora P. 2018a. Biofortified Crops Generated by Breeding, Agronomy and Transgenic Approaches Are Improving Lives of Millions of People around the World. Frontiers in Nutrition,
- Glahn RP, Lee OA, Yeung A, Goldman MI and Miller DD. 1998. Caco-2 cell ferritin formation predicts

nonradiolabeled food iron availability in an in vitro digestion/Caco-2 cell culture model. Journal of Nutrition, 128(9): 1555-1561.

- Gomes MJC, Martino HSD and Tako E. 2021. Effects of Iron and Zinc Biofortified Foods on Gut Microbiota In Vivo (Gallus gallus): A Systematic Review. Nutrients, 13(1): 189.
- Green LS and Rogers EE. 2004. FRD3 controls iron localization in Arabidopsis. Plant physiology, 136(1): 2523-2531.
- Grillet L, Ouerdane L, Flis P, Hoang MTT, Isaure MP, $581(12)$: 2273-2280. Lobinski R, Curie C and Mari S. 2014. Ascorbate efflux as a new strategy for iron reduction and transport in plants. Journal of Biological Chemistry,
289(5): 2515-2525.
- Grotz N and Guerinot ML. 2006. Molecular aspects of Cu, Fe and Zn homeostasis in plants. Biochim Biophys Acta - Molecular Cell Research, 1763(7): 595-608.
- Gupta PK, Balyan HS, Sharma S and Kumar R. 2021. Biofortification and bioavailability of Zn, Fe and Se in wheat: present status and future prospects. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 134:1-35.
- Haas JD, Beard JL, Murray-Kolb LE, Del Mundo AM, Felix A and Gregorio GB. 2005. Iron-biofortified rice improves the iron stores of nonanemic filipino women. Journal of Nutrition, 135(12): 2823-2830.
- Hoppler M, Schönbächler A, Meile L, Hurrell RF and Walczyk T. 2008. Ferritin-iron is released during boiling and *in vitro* gastric digestion. Journal of Nutrition, 138(5): 878-884.
- Huang QN, Shi YF, Zhang XB, Song LX, Feng BH, Wang HM, Xu X, Li XH, Guo D and Wu JL. 2016. for premature senescence and death phenotype in rice. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 58(1): 12-28.
- Hurrell R and Egli I. 2010. Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. Am Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 91(5): 1461S-1467S.
- Hurrell RF. 1997. Bioavailability of iron. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 51, pp.S4-S8.
- Ishimaru Y, Suzuki M, Tsukamoto T, Suzuki K, Nakazono M, Kobayashi T, Wada Y, Watanabe S, Matsuhashi S, Takahashi M and Nakanishi H. 2006. Rice plants take up iron as an Fe3+-phytosiderophore and as Fe2+. The Plant Journal, 45(3): 335-346.
- Kim SA and Guerinot ML. 2007. Mining iron: iron uptake and transport in plants. FEBS letters,
- Knovich MA, Storey JA, Coffman LG, Torti SV and Torti FM. 2009. Ferritin for the clinician. Blood Reviews, 23(3): 95-104.
- Kobayashi T, Nakanishi Itai R and Nishizawa NK. 2014. Iron deficiency responses in rice roots. Rice,
- Koike S, Inoue H, Mizuno D, Takahashi M, Nakanishi H, Mori S and Nishizawa NK. 2004. OsYSL2 regulated by iron and expressed in the phloem. The Plant Journal, 39(3): 415-424.
- Kumar A, Lal MK, Kar SS, Nayak L, Ngangkham U, Samantaray S and Sharma SG. 2017. Bioavailability of iron and zinc as affected by phytic acid content in rice grain. Journal of Food Biochemistry, 41(6): e2413.
- La Frano MR, de Moura FF, Boy E, Lönnerdal B and Burri BJ. 2014. Bioavailability of iron, zinc and provitamin A carotenoids in biofortified staple crops. Nutrition Review, 72(5): 289-307.
- Single base substitution in OsCDC48 is responsible A, Krämer U and Barbier-Brygoo H. 2005. Lanquar V, Lelièvre F, Bolte S, Hamès C, Alcon C, Neumann D, Vansuyt G, Curie C, Schröder Mobilization of vacuolar iron by AtNRAMP3 and AtNRAMP4 is essential for seed germination on low iron. The EMBO Journal, 24(23): 4041-4051.
	- Liu X, Glahn RP, Arganosa GC, Warkentin TD. 2015. Iron Bioavailability in Low Phytate Pea. Crop Sciences, 55(1): 320-330.

Journal of Rice Research 2024, Vol 17, No. 2 \star 9

- Lowe NM. 2021. The global challenge of hidden hunger: perspectives from the field. *Proceedings* of the Nutrition Society, 80(3): 283-289.
- McDowell L. 1992. Minerals in animal and human nutrition. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Moore KL, Rodríguez-Ramiro I, Jones ER, Jones EJ, Rodríguez-Celma J, Halsey K, Domoney for tron biotort C, Shewry PR, Fairweather-Tait S and Balk J. 2018. The stage of seed development influences iron bioavailability in pea (Pisum sativum L.). Scientipic Reports, 8(1): 6865.
- Morrissey J and Guerinot ML. 2009. Iron uptake and transport in plants: the good, the bad and the ionome. Chemical Reviews, 109(10): 4553-4567.
- Murray-Kolb LE, Wenger MJ, Scott SP, Rhoten SE, Lung'aho MG and Haas JD. 2017. Consumption of Iron-Biofortified Beans Positively Affects Cognitive Performance in 18 to 27 Year Old Rwandan Female College Students in an 18-Week Randomized Controlled Efficacy Trial. Journal of Nutrition, 147(11): 2109-2117.
- Neeraja CN, Hossain F, Hariprasanna K, Ram S, Satyavathi CT, Longvah L, Raghu P, Voleti SR and Sundaram RM. 2022. Towards nutrition security of India with biofortified cereal varieties. Current Science, 271-277.
- Neeraja CN, Ravindra Babu V, Ram S, Hossain F, Hariprasanna K, Rajpurohit BS, Prabhakar, Longvah T, Prasad KS, Sandhu JS and Datta SK. 2017. Biofortification in cereals: Progress and prospects. Current Sciences, 113(6): 1050-1057.
- Perfecto A, Rodriguez-Ramiro I, Rodriguez-Celma J, Sharp P, Balk J and Fairweather-Tait S. 2018. Pea Ferritin Stability under Gastric pH Conditions Determines the Mechanism of Iron Uptake in Caco-2 Cells. Journal of Nutrition, 148(8): 1229-1235.
- Petit JM, Briat JF, Lobréaux S. Structure and differential expression of the four members of the

Arabidopsis thaliana ferritin gene family. 2001. Biochemical Journal, 359(3): 575-582.

- Petry N, Egli I, Gahutu JB, Tugirimana PL, Boy E and Hurrell R. 2012. Stable iron isotope studies in Rwandese women indicate that the common bean has limited potential as a vehicle for iron biofortification. Journal of Nutrition,
- Pompano LM, Udipi SA, Ghugre PS, Przybyszewski EM and Haas J. 2022. Iron-biofortified pearl millet consumption increases physical activity in Indian adolescent schoolchildren after a 6-month randomised feeding trial. British Journal of Nutrition, 127(7): 1018-1025.
- Prasad R, Shivay YS and Kumar D. 2014. Agronomic Biofortification of Cereal Grains with Iron and Zinc. Advance in Agronomy, 125: 55-91.
- Robinson NJ, Procter CM, Connolly EL and Guerinot ML. 1999. A ferric-chelate reductase for iron uptake from soils. Nature, 397(6721): 694-7.
- Roy S and Soni P. 2021. Genome Editing for Biofortification of Rice. Genome Engineering for Crop Improvement : 297-313.
- Schaaf G, Honsbein A, Meda AR, Kirchner S, Wipf D and von Wirén N. 2006. AtIREG2 encodes a tonoplast transport protein involved in irondependent nickel detoxification in Arabidopsis thaliana roots. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 281(35): 25532-25540.
- Sperotto RA, Vasconcelos MW, Grusak MA and Fett JP. 2012. Effects of different Fe supplies on mineral partitioning and remobilization during the reproductive development of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Rice, 5(27): 1-11.
- Srivastava RK, Singh RB, Pujarula VL, Bollam S, Pusuluri M, Chellapilla TS, Yadav RS and Gupta R. 2020. Genome-Wide Association Studies and Genomic Selection in Pearl Millet: Advances and Prospects. Frontier in Genetics, 10(1389): 1-9.

10 \star Journal of Rice Research 2024, Vol 17, No. 2

- Swamy BPM, Marathi B, Ribeiro-Barros AIF, Calayugan MIC and Ricachenevsky FK. 2021. Iron Biofortification in Rice: An Update on Quantitative Trait Loci and Candidate Genes. Frontier in Plant Sciences, 12(647341): 1-11.
- Takahashi M. 2003. Overcoming Fe deficiency by a transgenic approach in rice. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, 72: 211-220.
- Thomine S, Lelièvre F, Debarbieux E, Schroeder JI and Barbier-Brygoo H. 2003. AtNRAMP3, a multispecific vacuolar metal transporter involved in plant responses to iron deficiency. The Plant Journal, 34(5): 685-695.
- Schroeder JI. 2000. Cadmium and iron transport by members of a plant metal transporter family in Arabidopsis with homology to Nramp genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 97(9): 4991-4996.
- Vasconcelos MW, Gruissem W and Bhullar NK. 2017. Iron biofortification in the $21st$ century: setting realistic targets, overcoming obstacles and new strategies for healthy nutrition. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 44: 8-15.
- Velu G, Singh R, Balasubramaniam A, Mishra VK, Chand R, Tiwari C, Joshi A, Virk P, Cherian B and Pfeiffer W. 2015. Reaching out to farmers with high zinc wheat varieties through public-private partnerships: an experience from eastern-gangetic plains of India. Advances in Food Technology and $62(2)$: 667-674. Nutritional Sciences, 3(1): 73-75.
- Vert G, Grotz N, Dédaldéchamp F, Gaymard F, Guerinot ML, Briat JF and Curie C. 2002. IRT1, an Arabidopsis transporter essential for iron uptake from the soil and for plant growth. The Plant Cell, 14(6): 1223-1233.
- Warkentin TD, Delgerjav O, Arganosa G, Rehman AU, Bett KE, Anbessa Y, Rossnagel B and Raboy V. 2012. Development and characterization of low-phytate pea. Crop Science, 52(1): 74-78.
- Wei Y, Shohag MJI, Yang X and Zhang Y. 2012. Effects of foliar iron application on iron concentration in polished rice grain and its bioavailability. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry, 60(45): 11433-11439.
- Welch RM. 2002. Breeding strategies for biofortified staple plant foods to reduce micronutrient malnutrition globally. The Journal of Nutrition, 132(3): 495S-499S.
- Thomine S, Wang R, Ward JM, Crawford NM and WHO (2005) World Health Organization. 2005. Fruit and vegetables for health: report of the Joint FAO/WHO Workshop on Fruit and Vegetables for Health, 1-3rd September 2004, Kobe, Japan. World Health Organization.
	- Wirth J, Poletti S, Aeschlimann B, Yakandawala N, Drosse B, Osorio S, Tohge T, Fernie AR, Günther D, Gruissem W and Sautter C. 2009. Rice endosperm iron biofortification by targeted and synergistic action of nicotianamine synthase and ferritin. Plant Biotechnology Journal, 7(7): 631-644.
	- Wu J, Wang C, Zheng L, Wang L, Chen Y, Whelan J and Shou H. 2011. Ethylene is involved in the regulation of iron homeostasis by regulating the expression of iron-acquisition-related genes in Oryza sativa. Journal of Experimental Botany,
	- Yan P, Du Q, Chen H, Guo Z, Wang Z, Tang J and Li WX. 2023. Biofortification of iron content by regulating a NAC transcription factor in maize. Science, 382(6675): 1159-1165.