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#### Abstract

An experiment consisting of twelve genotypes (four lines and eight testers) along with their thirty-two crosses was conducted in Line $x$ Tester design to study the heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for grain yield per plant and its component traits in rice at Main Rice Research Centre, Navsari. The result indicated that significant heterosis in a desirable direction was observed in all the characters except days to $50 \%$ flowering where none of the crosses showed significant heterobeltiosis in a negative direction for this trait. The best heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for grain yield per plant was exhibited by the cross NVSR-453 x NVSR-475 followed by Gurjari x NAUR-1 and NVSR-453 x NVSR-409.
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## Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L., $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=24$ ) is one of the most consumed food grains in the world. It is regarded to be first cultivated in South-East Asia. Rice belongs to the family poaceae and the genus Oryza. The genus Oryza has twenty-one wild and two cultivated species. i.e., Oryza sativa (Asian rice) and Oryza glaberrima (African rice). Based on the number of morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular traits, Asian rice cultivars are broadly grouped into two major subspecies, i.e., Oryza sativa indica and Oryza sativa japonica (Glaszmann, 1987).
Rice is mainly a self-pollinating crop, hydrophilic, short-day and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plant. It is best suited to moist humid weather during vegetative growth and dry sunny weather during the ripening period. With optimum temperature requirement between $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and optimum humidity between $60-80 \%$, rice cultivation extends from $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ longitude at an altitude range of $1500-2200 \mathrm{~m}$ amsl (above mean sea level). Rice serves as a good source of B-vitamins, iron, manganese and magnesium. Rice
bran oil is used as medicine as well as cooking oil and its straw is used as fodder in many countries. Global production of milled rice is 450.38 million tonnes from 162.43 million ha area and its productivity is $2.77 \mathrm{~kg} /$ ha during 2018-19 (Anonymous, 2020). In India, rice is cultivated in 44.16 million ha along with the production of 105.67 million tonnes and productivity of $2.39 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ during 2018-19 (Anonymous, 2020). The major rice producing countries in Asia are India, China, Indonesia, Thailand, Myanmar and Bangladesh (IRRI-World Rice Statistics, 2010).
Heterosis breeding ensures extensive and detailed genetic assessment of existing germplasm as well as newly evolved promising lines which could be used in future breeding programme or could be directly released as cultivars after proper evaluation. Line x Tester analysis (Kempthorne, 1957) is the most popular method for the exploitation of heterosis in self-pollinated crops, especially in rice breeding programme (Peng and Virmani, 1990). Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to study heterosis for yield and its component traits in rice.

## -R

## Materials and Methods

The crossing programme was carried out at Main Rice Research Centre (M. R. R. C.), N.A.U., Navsari during rabi-2019 and evaluation was carried out during kharif-2019. The experimental materials consisted of 45 entries including 4 lines (Gurjari, NVSR-452, NVSR-453 and GNR-3) and eight testers (NVSR-6157, NVSR-473, NVSR-475, NVSR-486, Dandi, NVSR-403, NVSR-409 and NAUR-1), their 32 crosses (Line $\times$ tester mating design) and one check variety (GNR-5). The crossing was carried out by hand emasculation and pollination. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. Each entry was planted in a single row consisting of 10 plants with a spacing of $20 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$. The standard agronomical practices were followed to raise the good experimental crop. Five competitive plants excluding the border plants were randomly selected in each replication to record all the observations for its components characters viz., Plant height (cm), productive tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), grains per panicle, kernel length (mm), kernel width (mm), L: B ratio, 100 grain weight (g), protein content (\%) by Kjeldahl method, amylose content (\%) and straw yield per plant. The traits days to $50 \%$ flowering and grain yield were recorded on a net plot basis, taking border plants into consideration. Heterosis was estimated over better parent and standard check by using the formula suggested by Fonseca and Patterson (1968).

## Results and discussion

The highest percent contribution of line has been noticed for days to $50 \%$ flowering, followed by 100 grain weight, kernel length, plant height, grain yield per plant and grains per panicle. The highest contribution of tester was shown in protein content, followed by L: B ratio, kernel width, straw yield per plant, kernel length and 100 grain weight. The highest percent contribution of line x tester was exhibited by productive tillers per plant, followed by amylose content, panicle length, grain yield per plant, grains per panicle and plant height.

Significance in the negative direction is desirable for the trait days to $50 \%$ flowering. The heterosis for these
characters ranged from -5.88 \% (Gurjari x NVSR6157) to 19.09 \% (NVSR-452 x NVSR-6157) over the better parent while it ranged from - 14.83 \% (Gurjari x NVSR-6157) to 10.87 \% (NVSR-452 x NAUR-1) over the standard check. Significant negative standard heterosis was observed for eight crosses, of which the three highly significant cross combinations were Gurjari x NVSR-6157 (-14.83 \%), Gurjari x NVSR473 (-14.45 \%), and Gurjari x Dandi (-12.93 \%). But no hybrids showed significant heterobeltiosis in a negative direction. The above results were in accordance with the findings of Bhati et al. (2015), Sala et al. (2016), Kumari and Jaiswal (2017), Sahu et al. (2017a), Patel et al. (2018), Prajapati and Kathiria (2018), Thakor et al. (2018) and Sundaram et al. (2019). Besides days to $50 \%$ flowering, a negative direction is also desirable for the trait plant height. The heterobeltiosis for this trait ranged from - $17.19 \%$ (NVSR-453 x Dandi) to 35.75 \% (NVSR-453 x NVSR475). Only one hybrid exhibited significant negative heterobeltiosis i.e. NVSR-453 x Dandi. Whereas the value of standard heterosis ranged from -22.36 \% (GNR-3 x NVSR-486) to 24.65 \% (NVSR-453 x NVSR-475). The crosses NVSR-453 x Dandi and GNR-3 x NVSR-486 had the least heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively. The present findings are in accordance with results reported by Bhati et al. (2015), Dar et al. (2015), Waza et al. (2016), Kumari and Jaiswal (2017), Sahu et al. (2017a), Thorat et al. (2017), Prajapati and Kathiria (2018), Thakor et al. (2018) and Sundaram et al. (2019).

The trait productive tillers per plant is associated with high grain yield. So, it is an important indirect trait for consideration while determining yield. The crosses that showed the highest percentage of heterosis over better parent and standard check are NVSR-453 x NVSR-475 and NVSR-452 x NVSR-486, respectively. Bano and Singh (2018), Patel et al., (2018), Prajapati and Kathiria (2018), and Thakor et al., (2018) also reported similar results in rice. For panicle length, two and eight crosses expressed significant and positive heterosis over better parent and standard check, respectively. The cross NVSR$453 \times$ NVSR-475 exhibited the highest heterobeltiosis as well as the highest standard heterosis for this character. The results were in uniformity with the results reported by
Table 1. Estimates of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for days to 50 \% flowering, plant height ( cm ), productive tillers per plant, panicle length, grains per panicle and kernel length in rice.

| Sr. | Crosses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Days to } 50 \% \\ \text { flowering } \end{gathered}$ |  | Plant height (cm) |  | Productive tillers per plant |  | Panicle length (cm) |  | Grains per panicle |  | Kernel length (mm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC |
| 1. | Gurjari x NVSR-6157 | -5.88 | -14.83** | -11.80 | -15.67* | -13.06 | -12.39 | -15.67* | -13.06 | -12.39 | -19.77** | -3.44 | 10.38** |
| 2. | Gurjari x NVSR-473 | -5.46 | -14.45** | -14.16 | -24.33** | -20.56** | -16.64* | -24.33** | -20.56** | -16.64* | -25.28** | 18.57** | 22.77** |
| 3. | Gurjari x NVSR-475 | -0.84 | -10.27* | -2.19 | -0.50 | -1.82 | 2.63 | -0.50 | -1.82 | 2.63 | -11.35 | 20.31** | 11.31** |
| 4. | Gurjari x NVSR-486 | 1.68 | -7.98* | -8.30 | -7.96 | -9.18 | -6.00 | -7.96 | -9.18 | -6.00 | -18.80** | -3.67 | 20.32** |
| 5. | Gurjari x Dandi | -3.78 | -12.93** | 6.63 | 3.48 | 12.80 | 7.06 | 3.48 | 12.80 | 7.06 | 0.81 | -14.05** | 16.22** |
| 6. | Gurjari x NVSR-403 | -2.10 | -11.41** | 0.04 | -2.85 | -1.32 | 6.38 | -2.85 | -1.32 | 6.38 | -8.10 | 41.62** | 31.02** |
| 7. | Gurjari x NVSR-409 | 2.10 | -7.60 | 14.20 | 9.62 | 14.85* | 9.22 | 9.62 | 14.85* | 9.22 | 6.03 | 1.93 | 21.25** |
| 8. | Gurjari x NAUR-1 | 5.04 | -4.94 | 28.41** | 10.97 | 26.44** | 20.87** | 10.97 | 26.44** | 20.87** | 22.24** | -30.86** | -1.75 |
| 9. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-6157 | 19.09** | 9.13* | 0.46 | 1.03 | 4.15 | 5.84 | 1.03 | 4.15 | 5.84 | -3.08 | -1.67 | 12.40** |
| 10. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-473 | 12.10** | 5.70 | 8.09 | 5.90 | 11.17 | 11.39 | 5.90 | 11.17 | 11.39 | -0.16 | -0.26 | 3.28 |
| 11. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-475 | 11.49** | 10.65** | -9.30 | -13.85* | -13.24 | -11.48 | -13.85* | -13.24 | -11.48 | -21.23** | -11.72** | -9.94** |
| 12. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-486 | 9.20* | 8.37* | 19.58* | 15.13* | 15.94* | 25.36** | 15.13* | 15.94* | 25.36** | 11.54 | -5.64* | 17.86** |
| 13. | NVSR-452 x Dandi | 12.25** | 7.98* | 8.71 | 3.16 | 12.44 | 8.81 | 3.16 | 12.44 | 8.81 | 2.46 | -37.96** | -16.11** |
| 14. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-403 | 9.20* | 8.37* | 2.40 | 0.80 | 2.38 | 6.92 | 0.80 | 2.38 | 6.92 | -4.86 | -5.57* | -3.66 |
| 15. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-409 | 8.14* | 6.08 | 0.65 | 1.26 | 6.09 | 2.00 | 1.26 | 6.09 | 2.00 | -0.97 | -8.82** | 8.47** |
| 16. | NVSR-452 x NAUR-1 | 11.72** | 10.87** | -8.27 | -16.58** | -4.94 | -14.74* | -16.58** | -4.94 | -14.74* | -13.78* | -29.44** | 0.27 |
| 17. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-6157 | 7.47 | -1.52 | 3.32 | 3.05 | 12.09 | 1.28 | 3.05 | 12.09 | 1.28 | 0.49 | -6.55** | 6.83* |
| 18. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-473 | 2.82 | -3.04 | 22.04* | 12.14 | -13.06 | 15.03* | 12.14 | -13.06 | 15.03* | 14.13* | 18.14** | 22.34** |
| 19. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-475 | 1.57 | -1.90 | 35.75** | 24.65** | 34.48** | 19.10 | 18.14** | 28.51** | 22.55** | 21.59** | -0.06 | -14.04** |
| 20. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-486 | 3.94 | 0.38 | -0.40 | -9.37 | 10.00 | -1.49 | -9.93 | -2.03 | -9.01 | -9.72 | -9.14** | 13.49** |
| 21. | NVSR-453 x Dandi | 0.00 | -3.80 | -17.19* | -18.71* | -8.33 | -17.91 | -25.02** | -18.27** | -21.75** | -22.37** | -22.21** | 5.19 |
| 22. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-403 | 6.69 | 3.04 | 6.24 | -2.44 | -17.43 | -26.87* | -0.68 | 8.03 | -0.19 | -0.97 | -3.62 | -17.09** |
| 23. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-409 | 7.48 | 3.80 | 18.45* | 16.27* | -16.89 | -14.93 | 8.97 | 18.53** | 17.16** | 16.24* | 7.30** | 27.64** |
| 24. | NVSR-453 x NAUR-1 | 5.12 | 1.52 | 7.17 | 5.20 | 0.00 | 13.43 | 0.62 | 14.65* | 4.04 | 5.22 | 3.73 | 47.41** |
| 25. | GNR-3 x NVSR-6157 | -0.83 | -9.13* | -7.00 | -13.45 | -16.05 | -11.94 | -8.05 | -5.21 | -8.14 | -15.88* | -19.30** | -7.76** |
| 26. | GNR-3 x NVSR-473 | 2.02 | -3.80 | 2.06 | -6.03 | -24.59* | -20.90* | -3.31 | 1.50 | 3.62 | -7.13 | -9.34** | -6.12* |
| 27. | GNR-3 x NVSR-475 | -1.20 | -6.08 | -6.21 | -13.88 | -24.59* | -20.90* | -1.69 | -5.65 | 0.98 | -16.53* | 6.41 | -9.39** |


| Sr. <br> No.. | Crosses | Days to 50 \% flowering |  | Plant height (cm) |  | Productive tillers per plant |  | Panicle length (cm) |  | Grains per panicle |  | Kernel length (mm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC |
| 28. | GNR-3 x NVSR-486 | 5.20 | 0.00 | -14.67 | $-22.36 * *$ | -18.90 | -14.93 | -15.79* | $-22.33 * *$ | -11.76 | -27.07** | -3.24 | 20.86** |
| 29. | GNR-3 x Dandi | -5.60 | -10.27* | 16.15 | 8.09 | 2.76 | 7.79 | 6.32 | 15.89* | 16.56* | 9.76 | -39.46** | -18.13** |
| 30. | GNR-3 x NVSR-403 | 1.20 | -3.80 | -3.32 | -11.21 | 18.10 | 23.88* | -3.81 | -2.29 | 2.45 | -11.83 | 12.51** | -4.21 |
| 31. | GNR-3 x NVSR-409 | 0.80 | -4.18 | 3.24 | -3.92 | -21.74* | -17.91 | -0.67 | 4.06 | -1.34 | -4.21 | 1.79 | 21.08** |
| 32. | GNR-3 x NAUR-1 | 12.40** | 6.84 | 10.78 | 3.09 | 4.61 | 18.66 | 0.31 | 14.30* | 5.64 | 6.84 | -32.01** | -3.39 |
|  | S.E. (d) $\pm$ | 3.44 | 3.44 | 7.79 | 7.79 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 13.02 | 13.02 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
|  | C.D. at 5\% | 6.88 | 6.88 | 15.57 | 15.57 | 2.31 | 2.31 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 26.02 | 26.02 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
|  | C.D. at 1\% | 9.14 | 9.14 | 20.70 | 20.70 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.32 | 3.32 | 34.59 | 34.59 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
|  | Range | $\begin{gathered} -5.88 \\ \text { to } \\ 19.09 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14.83 \\ \text { to } \\ 10.87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -17.19 \\ \text { to } \\ 35.75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -22.36 \\ \text { to } \\ 24.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -34.21 \\ \text { to } \\ 34.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -28.36 \\ \text { to } \\ 26.87 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -22.33 \\ \text { to } \\ 28.51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -21.75 \\ \text { to } \\ 25.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -27.07 \\ \text { to } \\ 22.24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -39.46 \\ \text { to } \\ 41.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -18.13 \\ \text { to } \\ 47.41 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2. Estimates of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for kernel width (mm), L: B ratio, 100 grain weight (g), protein content, amylose content, grain yield per plant and straw yield per plant in rice.

| r. | Crosses | Kernel width (mm) |  | L: B ratio |  | 100 grain weight$(\mathrm{g})$ |  | Protein content (\%) |  | Amylose content (\%) |  | Grain yield per plant (g) |  | Straw yield per plant (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC |
| 1. | Gurjari x NVSR-6157 | -3.49* | 10.09** | -19.05** | 0.14 | -10.44** | 4.69* | -3.03** | 29.67** | 12.92** | 20.64** | -22.12* | -28.93** | 18.84 | -20.18* |
| 2. | Gurjari x NVSR-473 | -6.98* | 6.11** | 20.57** | 15.62** | 3.85 | 9.74** | -14.78** | 3.60* | -18.83** | -13.06** | -26.50* | $-33.18^{* *}$ | 3.05 | 2.36 |
| 3 | Gurjari x NVSR-475 | -8.15 | 4.78 | 30.91 | 6.16 | -3.38 | 2.10 | -28.48** | -13.06 | -8.18* | -7.3 | 9.48 | -11.94 | 6.95 | -9.55 |
| 4. | Gurjari x NVSR-486 | -3.38* | 10.23** | -10.16** | 9.04* | 6.64** | 14.80** | -17.89** | -0.18 | -17.21** | -16.45** | -11.68 | $-29.21 * *$ | 59.98** | 7.45 |
| 5. | Gurjari x Dandi | -3.38* | 10.23* | -17.58* | 5.34** | 2.68 | 8.51** | -17.67** | 0.09 | 0.76 | 13.64** | 23.04* | 13.61 | 11.71 | -24.97** |
| 6. | Gurjari x NVSR-403 | -6.87 | 6.24** | 52.03** | 23.29** | 12.02** | 18.37** | -13.93** | 4.64** | 7.88* | 18.84** | -0.58 | -10.60 | 1.38 | -31.91** |
| 7. | Gurjari x NVSR-409 | -28.87** | -18.86** | 18.09** | 49.32** | -16.80** | -12.08** | -27.04** | -11.30** | -3.88 | 2.29 | 23.41* | 25.26* | 40.14** | 7.45 |
| 8. | Gurjari x NAUR-1 | -9.55** | 3.19 | -29.15** | -4.79 | -11.67** | -6.66** | -24.70** | -8.46** | -5.63 | 2.45 | 34.10** | 38.87** | 93.74** | 55.37** |
| 9. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-6157 | -4.36** | 4.78** | -13.40** | 7.12** | -12.24** | 2.59 | -4.85** | 27.24** | -23.35** | -12.09** | -8.48 | -5.98 | -9.26 | 3.78 |
| 10. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-473 | -29.58** | -22.84** | 39.57** | 33.84** | -19.69** | -30.09** | -3.45** | 13.46** | 0.67 | 15.44** | 0.98 | 3.74 | -37.09** | -28.04** |


| Sr. | Crosses | Kernel width (mm) |  | L: B ratio |  | 100 grain weight$(\mathrm{g})$ |  | Protein content (\%) |  | Amylose content (\%) |  | Grain yield per plant (g) |  | Straw yield per plant (g) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC | BP | SC |
| 11. |  | 0.24 | 9.83** | -11.93** | -18.08** | 5.67* | -8.01** | -17.32** | -2.84* | -7.91* | 5.61 | -33.65** | -31.83** | -58.04** | -52.00** |
| 12. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-486 | 1.09 | 10.76** | $-12.30 * *$ | 6.44** | 5.50* | 13.56** | -18.47** | -4.19** | -0.80 | 13.76** | 31.23** | 34.82** | -48.28** | -40.85** |
| 13. | NVSR-452 x Dandi | 3.03 | 12.88** | -41.91 ** | -25.75** | -18.31** | $-14.18 * *$ | -20.88** | $-7.02 * *$ | -6.77* | 6.91 | 10.66 | 13.68 | -8.87 | 4.23 |
| 14. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-403 | -2.67 | 6.64** | -2.95 | -9.73** | 5.83* | -5.92* | -1.95 | 15.22** | -0.13 | 14.53** | 10.66 | 13.68 | $-27.33 * *$ | -16.89 |
| 15. | NVSR-452 x NVSR-409 | -7.88** | 0.93 | -15.06** | 7.40** | -3.87 | -2.10 | -37.78** | -26.88** | -3.20 | 11.01** | -8.09 | -5.58 | -52.54** | -45.71** |
| 16. | NVSR-452 x NAUR-1 | 5.70** | 15.80** | -35.58** | -13.42** | 16.86** | 1.73 | -27.62** | -14.95** | 4.57 | 19.92** | -21.81* | -19.03 | -23.01** | -11.94 |
| 17. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-6157 | 1.99 | 8.76** | -20.60** | -1.78 | -12.97** | 1.73 | -11.85** | 17.87** | 11.92** | 19.57** | 4.34 | 8.34 | -3.96 | -26.54** |
| 18. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-473 | -17.34** | -10.76** | 42.86** | 36.99** | 12.32** | -2.22 | 12.69** | 21.52** | 7.78* | 15.44** | 31.69** | 36.75** | -26.20** | -26.69** |
| 19. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-475 | 0.74 | 9.03** | -2.38 | -21.23** | 4.92 | -18.50** | -10.20** | $-16.34 * *$ | -5.26 | -2.60 | $36.25 * *$ | 41.48** | 20.41 | 1.83 |
| 20. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-486 | 2.49 | 9.30** | -14.45** | 3.84 | -1.37 | 6.17** | -14.71** | -19.32** | 10.35** | 13.46** | -14.82 | -11.55 | -10.62 | -31.64** |
| 2 | NV | 0.50 | 7.17** | $-23.26 * *$ | -1.92 | -5.05 | -0.25 | -2.85 | -9.50** | 5.56 | 19.05** | -35.23** | -32.74** | -29.52* | -46.09** |
| 22. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-403 | -2.12 | 4.38* | -1.70 | -20.68** | $-12.76 * *$ | -22.44** | -1.90 | 9.32** | -2.97 | 6.88 | -0.10 | 3.74 | 8.47 | -17.03 |
| 23. | NVSR-453 x NVSR-409 | -6.97** | -0.80 | 1.63 | 28.49** | 5.69* | 7.64** | -21.27** | $-26.65 * *$ | 11.21** | 18.35** | 31.99** | 37.06** | -31.54* | -47.51** |
| 24. | NV | 9.84** | 17.13** | $-6.42 * *$ | 25.75** | 39.80** | 20.84** | -17.64** | $-23.28 * *$ | -7.46* | 0.46 | 19.45* | 24.04* | 38.66** | 11.19 |
| 25. | GNR-3 x NVSR-6157 | 0.73 | 9.56** | $-32.00 * *$ | -15.89** | -20.25** | -6.78** | -13.03** | 16.30** | 12.32** | 20.00** | -14.19 | -21.69* | -18.12 | -45.86** |
| 26. | GNR | -17.70** | -10.49** | 9.43** | 4.93 | -36.06** | -27.62** | -2.09 | 5.58** | -0.50 | 6.57 | -1.15 | -10.13 | -30.42** | -30.89** |
| 27. | GNR-3 x NVSR-475 | -1.71 | 6.91** | 8.42* | -15.34** | -23.09** | -12.95** | -5.07** | -19.95** | 12.57** | 11.47** | -6.13 | -24.49* | 6.33 | -10.07 |
| 28. | GNR-3 x NVSR-486 | 3.05 | 12.08** | -11.29** | 7.67** | 2.83 | 16.40** | -15.56** | -20.13** | 6.73 | 1.38 | -8.81 | -33.72** | -13.25 | -42.64** |
| 29. | GNR-3 x Dandi | 3.05 | 12.08** | -42.87** | -26.99** | -28.98** | -19.61** | 8.63** | -10.45** | -27.20** | -17.89** | 39.89** | 29.17** | -25.14 | -50.51** |
| 30. | GNR-3 x NVSR-403 | -5.13** | 3.19 | 16.12** | -7.26** | -22.00** | -11.71** | -0.65 | 10.72** | 3.55 | 14.07** | -7.78 | -17.07 | 116.76** | 43.32** |
| 31. | GNR-3 x NVSR-409 | -22.22** | -15.41** | 13.22** | 43.15** | -16.99** | -6.04** | -17.26** | -31.79** | -0.43 | 5.96 | -7.09 | -5.69 | 33.69** | 2.51 |
| 32. | GNR-3 x NAUR-1 | -22.34** | -15.54** | -14.98** | 14.25** | -37.15** | -28.85** | -13.60** | -28.77** | -3.24 | 5.05 | 10.19 | 14.11 | 57.89** | 26.62** |
|  | S.E. (d) $\pm$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 4.13 | 4.13 |
|  | C.D. at 5\% | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 1.61 | 1.61 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 8.26 | 8.26 |
|  | C.D. at 1\% | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 2.15 | 2.15 | 6.29 | 6.29 | 10.99 | 10.99 |
|  | Range | $\begin{gathered} -29.58 \\ \text { to } \\ 9.84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -22.84 \\ \text { to } \\ 17.13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -42.87 \\ \text { to } \\ 52.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -26.99 \\ \text { to } \\ 49.32 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -37.15 \\ \text { to } \\ 39.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -30.09 \\ \text { to } \\ 20.84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -37.78 \\ \text { to } \\ 12.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -31.79 \\ \text { to } \\ 29.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -27.20 \\ \text { to } \\ 12.92 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -17.89 \\ \text { to } \\ 20.64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -35.23 \\ \text { to } \\ 39.89 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -33.72 \\ \text { to } \\ 41.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -58.04 \\ \text { to } \\ 116.76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -52.00 \\ \text { to } \\ 55.37 \end{gathered}$ |
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Patel et al., (2018), Prajapati and Kathiria (2018), Thakor et al., (2018), and Sundaram et al., (2019).

Grains per panicle is an important trait to determine the grain yield in rice crop. The range of heterobeltiosis for grain per panicle was from - $21.75 \%$ (NVSR-453 x Dandi) to $25.36 \%$ (NVSR-452 x NVSR-486). The estimates of standard heterosis varied from - $27.07 \%$ (GNR-3 x NVSR486) to 22.24 \% (Gurjari x NAUR-1). Six and four hybrids exhibited significant positive heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively in the desired direction for this trait. The results were akin to the findings of Rukmini (2014), Shinde and Patel (2014), Bhati et al., (2015), Sala et al., (2016), Kumari and Jaiswal (2017). The cross, Gurjari x NVSR-403 (41.62 \%) recorded the maximum heterobeltiosis and the cross NVSR-453 x NAUR-1 (47.41 $\%$ ) recorded maximum standard heterosis for kernel length. A total of six and seventeen crosses expressed significant heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis in positive direction, respectively. Positive heterosis for this trait was also reported by Sanghera and Hussain (2012), Sarial (2014), Bhatti et al., (2015), and Prajapati and Kathiria (2018).

The range of heterobeltiosis for kernel width was from -29.58 \% (NVSR-452 x NVSR-473) to 9.84 \% (NVSR$453 \times$ NAUR-1). The estimates of standard heterosis varied from - 22.84 \% (NVSR-452 x NVSR-473) to 17.13 \% (NVSR-453 x NAUR-1). Two and twenty-three crosses exhibited significant positive heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively. The results were similar to the findings of Sanghera and Hussain (2012), Bhatti et al. (2015), and Prajapati and Kathiria (2018). The L: B ratio is an important trait as it has a greater contribution towards seed quality. The cross combination Gurjari x NVSR-403 exhibited the maximum heterobeltiosis and the crosscombination Gurjari x NVSR-409 exhibited the maximum standard heterosis among all the crosses. The results were in agreement with the results reported by Shinde and Patel (2014), Dar et.al., (2015) and Bano and Singh (2018). The heterobeltiosis for 100 grain weight varied between - 37.15 \% (GNR-3 x NAUR-1) to 39.80 \% (NVSR-453 x NAUR1). The standard heterosis ranged from - 30.09 \% (NVSR$452 \times$ NVSR-473) to 20.84 \% (NVSR-453 x NAUR-1). With regard to 100 grain weight, heterosis over better parent and standard check were exhibited by nine and ten crosses, respectively. Utharasu and Anandakumar (2013), Waza et al., (2016) and Sahu et al., (2017b) reported similar results.

The protein content of the hybrids is positively correlated with that of the protein content of the parents. However, it has a negative correlation with the grain yield per plant. The results for protein content revealed that only two crosses had significant and positive heterosis over better parent while twelve crosses had significant and positive heterosis over the standard check. The cross NVSR-453 x NVSR473 had reported the highest magnitude of heterosis over better parent and the cross Gurjari x NVSR-6157 reported the highest heterosis over the standard check. Comparable harmony for this character was also recorded by Patel et al., (2018) and Thakor et al., (2018). The heterobeltiosis for amylose content ranged from -27.20 \% (GNR-3 x Dandi) to 12.92 \% (Gurjari x NVSR-6157). The value of standard heterosis ranged from -17.89 \% (GNR-3 x Dandi) to 20.64 \% (Gurjari x NVSR-6157). The amylose content of the hybrids has a positive correlation with that of the parents. Eight crosses depicted positive and significant heterosis over better parent and sixteen crosses over the standard check for amylose content. These results were in accordance with the results of Bano and Singh (2018), Patel et al., (2018) and Thakor et al., (2018).
Grain yield per plant is an attribute of economic importance which breeders attempt to improve by evolving new high yielding hybrids. The grain yield per plant for the hybrids is positively correlated with that of the parents. It also has positive correlation with the amylose content and negative correlation with the protein content. Among thirty-two hybrids, nine and eight hybrids exhibited significant and positive estimates of heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively for grain yield per plant. The crosses GNR-3 x Dandi and NVSR-453 x NVSR-475 expressed the highest positive and significant estimates for the heterosis over better parent and over the standard check, respectively. The results were in accordance with the findings of Sravan et al. (2016), Kumari and Jaiswal (2017), Sahu et al., (2017a), Sahu et al., (2017b), Bano and Singh (2018), Patel et al., (2018), Thakor et al., (2018) and Sundaram (2019). The range of heterobeltiosis for straw yield per plant was between - $58.04 \%$ (NVSR-452 x NVSR-475) to 116.76 \% (GNR-3 x NVSR-403). The standard heterosis ranged from -52.00 \% (NVSR-452 x NVSR-475) to 55.37 \% (Gurjari x NAUR-1). Seven and three hybrids exhibited significant positive heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis, respectively. Similar results have been reported by Kumar et al., (2012), Patel et al., (2018) and Thakor et al., (2018).

In the present study, the magnitude of the heterosis varied from cross to cross. The top five crosses viz., NVSR-453 x NVSR-475, Gurjari x NAUR-1, NVSR-453 x NVSR-409, NVSR-453 x NVSR-473 and NVSR-452 x NVSR-486 showed significant positive heterosis over better parent and check variety for grain yield and various yield component characters. So, these crosses can fully be exploited through the pedigree method to obtain higher yielding transgressive segregates.
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